

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,748	EVERETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Denise B. Anderson	2877	

All Participants:

(1) Denise B. Anderson.

(2) Michael A. Stallman.

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006

Time: approximately 10:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Discussed change to claim 69 to put the application in condition for allowance. Also discussed examiner's amendment, details of which are included in this Office Action

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed change to claim 69 to put the application in condition for allowance. Also discussed examiner's amendment, details of which are included in this Office Action

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Gregory A. Tolson, Jr.
 Supervisory Patent Examiner

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)